



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/324,823		06/02/1999	TAKESHI IDE	P99.0654	2056	
33448	7590	10/19/2005		EXAMINER		
ROBERT J	. DEPKE	<u> </u>	MOE, AUNG SOE			
LEWIS T. S						
TREXLER,	BUSHNE	LL, GLANGLO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
105 WEST /	ADAMS S	TREET, SUITE	2685			
CHICAGO	II 6060	3-6299				

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/324,82	3	IDE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Aung S. M		2685				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence add	ress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no eve riod will apply and wil atute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this com) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is now	on-final. for formal matters, pro		nerits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subject.	drawn from cor d/or election re niner. accepted or b)[equirement.					
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is require	ed if the drawing(s) is object	ected to. See 37 CFF				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi et al. (U.S. 6,342,921) in view of Suzuki et al. (U.S. 6,515,703) and Suga et al. (U.S. 4,963,980).

Regarding claim 1, Yamaguchi '921 discloses a solid-state image sensor (Figs. 1, 19, 28 and 29) device having an image sensing portion performing photoelectric conversion in both

progressive mode in which all picture element signals are output independently (i.e., noted the Frame mode for reading all the pixels in a progressive manner as discussed in col. 1, lines 15+), and interlace mode in which interlaced scanning are performed and the picture element signals obtained in respective scanning in said image sensing portion being superimposed (i.e., noted that during the interlaced mode, one field of image data is reading out as an odd filed and an even field, so that such field data are superimposed to display the moving images as discussed in col. 1, lines 25), and the sensor device comprising: a photodiode within the image sensing portion (Fig. 11, the elements 2; col. 11, lines 60-65).

Furthermore, it is noted that although Yamaguchi '921 discloses the CCD device capable of operating at both the progressive mode (i.e., Full frame mode for capturing a still image as discussed in col. 1, lines 20+) and the interlaced mode (i.e., the moving/monitor mode for capturing and displaying a moving image as discussed in col. 1, lines 30+) by applying the respective bias voltage to control the potential of charges stored in the CCD sensor during the different operation mode (i.e., see col. 12, lines 25+, col. 14, lines 5+ and col. 15, lines 5+), Yamaguchi '921 does not explicitly show the use of a substrate-bias generation circuit for applying a basis voltage to the substrate of said image sensing portion and for controlling said bias voltage in said progressive mode (Frame mode) to be smaller than the bias voltage while operating in the interlaced mode (Field mode) as recited in present claimed invention.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Suzuki '703. In particular, Suzuki '703 discloses that it is conventionally well-known in the art to use a substrate-bias generation circuit for applying a basis voltage (i.e., Fig. 1, the elements' 2, 3 and 4) to the substrate of said image sensing portion (i.e., the CCD sensor of

the camera as shown in Fig. 7A-7D of Suzuki '703) and for controlling said bias voltage in said progressive mode (i.e., the Frame mode where the image data are readout as in a non-interlaced manner by applying the respective substrate-bias voltage Vsub Level 2 as discussed in col. 2, lines 10+ and col. 12, lines 60+ of Suzuki '703; see Figs. 7A-7D) to be smaller than the bias voltage while operating in the interlaced mode (i.e., noted from the Fig. 7C of Suzuki '703 that the Vsub LEVEL2 for the Frame Mode for producing the image data in a non-interlaced manner is less than the Vsub LEVEL1 of the Filed mode for producing the interlaced image for displaying the moving image on the EVF 44; see Fig. 7A-7D & 10; and col. 2, lines 1-10, col. 12, line 35+ and col. 13, lines 1+) as recited in present claimed invention.

In view of the above, having the system of Yamaguchi '921 and then given the well-established teaching of Suzuki '703, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Yamaguchi '921 as taught by Suzuki '407, since Suzuki '407 states at col. 19, lines 5+ that such a modification would increase the signal-to-noise ratio in both Full frame reading mode and the Field reading mode so that it is possible to obtain a high-quality image regardless of whether the operation is performed in the field reading mode or in the full frame reading mode.

Moreover, it is noted that although the combination of Yamaguchi '921 and Suzuki '703 shows wherein the applied bias voltages are chosen (i.e., noted from Fig. 7C and Fig. 15 of Suzuki '703 that different bias voltage are chosen) to achieve a specific saturation signal quantity for the progressive mode and the interlace mode respectively, the combination of Yamaguchi '921 and Suzuki '703 does not explicitly show wherein that a saturation signal quantity in the

progressive mode (i.e., Frame mode) is substantially equivalent to that in the interlaced mode (i.e., Field Mode).

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Suga '980. In particular, Suga '980 teaches the use of bias voltage control circuit (i.e., see Fig. 10, the elements 35 and 37) for a solid-state image sensor (i.e., CCD 31 of Figs. 10 & 11A), and the applied bias voltages (i.e., noted the voltages Va and Vb as shown in Fig. 11B; see col. 6, lines 30+) are chosen (i.e., see Col. 7, lines 1-10) such that a saturation signal (i.e., noted the V_{SAT} as shown in Fig. 11C) quantity in the progressive mode (i.e., Noted the Frame Mode used as a progressive mode as discussed in the combination of Yamaguchi '921 and Suzuki '703 as discussed above) is **substantially** equivalent to that in the interlaced mode (i.e., Noted the Field mode used as an interlaced mode as discussed in the combination of Yamaguchi '921 and Suzuki '703 above) (i.e., as shown in Figs. 11B and 11C that the saturation signal V_{SAT} for the Frame Mode is **substantially** equivalent to that of the field mode; see Col. 7, lines 1-25 and col. 7, lines 65+; and Figs. 11A-11C, 12 and 13 of Suga '980).

In view of the above, having the system of Yamaguchi '921 and then given the well-established teaching of Suga '980, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Yamaguchi '921 as taught by Suga '980, since Suga '980 states at col. 7, lines 15+ that such a modification would prevent possible blooming in the field mode without impairing the dynamic range for the frame mode.

Regarding claim 2, it is noted that the method Claim 2 corresponding to the product claim 1 thus claim 2 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth for the claim 1 as discussed above.

Application/Control Number: 09/324,823

Art Unit: 2685

3. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi '921 in view of Suzuki '703 and Suga '980 as applied to claims above, and further in view of Lee et al. (U.S. 5,904,493).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Yamaguchi '921, Suzuki '703 and Suga '980 shows wherein the substrate bias generation circuit adjusts the substrate bias voltage during the progressive mode of operation such that a potential difference is generated between a doped region (i.e., As shown in Figs. 7B-7C, noted the n-TYPE SUBSTRATE regions of Suzuki '703) and a well of the photodiode (i.e., noted the P-LAYER as shown in Figs. 7B-7C of Suzuki '703) which is greater than during the interlaced operation (i.e., as shown in Figs. 7A-7C, noted the potential difference of the Vsub LEVEL 2 and Vsub LEVEL1 for the respective regions of the image sensor as taught by Suzuki '703; also see Fig. 11B of Suga '980).

Furthermore, it is noted that combination of Yamaguchi '921 and Suzuki '703 does not explicitly show the use of "a hole accumulation diode" (HAD) as recited in present claimed invention. However, a pinned photodiode is well known in the art at the time of the invention was made as "hole accumulation diode or HAD", or virtual phase diode or VP diode as evidenced by Lee '493 (i.e., noted the "pinned Photodiode" as discussed in Lee '493; see col. 1, lines 30-38, and col. 2, lines 5-10). Advantage of using pinned photodiode (i.e., HAD) is well known to one having ordinary skill in the art, for example, Lee '493 teaches that using pinned photodiode (HAD) would improve dark current noise characteristics (i.e., see col. 1, lines 45-55 and col. 4, lines 25-30).

In view of the above, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Yamaguchi '921 as taught by Lee

'493, since Lee '493 stated in col. 4, lines 25+ such a modification would improve dark current noise characteristics.

Page 7

Regarding claim 5, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 4 as discussed above.

4. Claims 1, 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang (U.S. 5,264,939) in view of Suzuki '703 (U.S. 6,515,703) and Suga '980 (U.S. 4,963,980).

Regarding claim 1, Chang '939 discloses a solid-state image sensor (Figs. 1 and 2) device having an image sensing portion performing photoelectric conversion in both progressive mode in which all picture element signals are output independently (i.e., noted the Full Frame transfer mode during the non-interlaced reading as discussed in col. 4, lines 40+), and interlace mode in which of interlaced scanning are performed and the picture element signals obtained in respective scanning in said image sensing portion are superimposed (i.e., noted during the interlaced mode, an odd field and an even field are superimposed in an interlaced manner for displaying moving images; see col. 4, lines 6+); and the sensor device comprising: a photodiode (col. 3, lines 40+ and col. 5, lines 55+) within the image sensing portion and applying the respective substrate voltages during the operation of different modes (i.e., the interlaced mode and the non-interlaced/progressive mode; see Figs. 2-4).

Furthermore, it is noted that although Chang '939 discloses the CCD device (Fig. 2) capable of operating at both the progressive mode (i.e., Non-interlaced mode for reading the image frame as discussed in col. 4, lines 40+) and the interlaced mode (i.e., the interlaced mode

for capturing and displaying a moving image as discussed in col. 4, lines 5+) by applying the respective substrate voltages to the image sensor as shown in Figs. 2 and 3-4, Chang '939 does not explicitly show wherein a basis voltage applied to the substrate of said image sensing portion and for controlling said bias voltage in said progressive mode to be smaller than said voltage in said interlaced mode as recited in present claimed invention.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Suzuki '703. In particular, Suzuki '703 discloses that it is conventionally wellknown in the art to use a substrate-bias generation circuit for applying a basis voltage (i.e., Fig. 1, the elements' 2, 3 and 4) to the substrate of said image sensing portion (i.e., the CCD sensor of the camera as shown in Fig. 7A-7D of Suzuki '703) and for controlling said bias voltage in said progressive mode (i.e., the Frame mode where the image data are readout as in a non-interlaced manner by applying the respective substrate-bias voltage Vsub Level 2 as discussed in col. 2, lines 10+ and col. 12, lines 60+ of Suzuki '703; see Figs. 7A-7D) to be smaller than the bias voltage while operating in the interlaced mode (i.e., noted from the Fig. 7C of Suzuki '703 that the Vsub LEVEL2 for the Frame Mode for producing the image data in a non-interlaced manner is less than the Vsub LEVEL1 of the Filed mode for producing the interlaced image for displaying the moving image on the EVF 44; see Fig. 7A-7D & 10; and col. 2, lines 1-10, col. 12, line 35+ and col. 13, lines 1+) as recited in present claimed invention.

In view of the above, having the system of Chang '939 and then given the wellestablished teaching of Suzuki '703, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Chang '939 as taught by Suzuki '407, since Suzuki '407 states at col. 19, lines 5+ that such a modification would increase

the signal-to-noise ratio in both Full frame reading mode and the Field reading mode so that it is possible to obtain a high-quality image regardless of whether the operation is performed in the field reading mode or in the full frame reading mode.

Moreover, it is noted that although the combination of Chang '939 and Suzuki '703 shows wherein the applied bias voltages are chosen (i.e., noted from Fig. 7C and Fig. 15 of Suzuki '703 that different bias voltage are chosen) to achieve a specific saturation signal quantity for the progressive mode and the interlace mode respectively, the combination of Chang '939 and Suzuki '703 does not explicitly show wherein that a saturation signal quantity in the progressive mode (i.e., Frame mode) is substantially equivalent to that in the interlaced mode (i.e., Field Mode).

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Suga '980. In particular, Suga '980 teaches the use of bias voltage control circuit (i.e., see Fig. 10, the elements 35 and 37) for a solid-state image sensor (i.e., CCD 31 of Figs. 10 & 11A), and the applied bias voltages (i.e., noted the voltages Va and Vb as shown in Fig. 11B; see col. 6, lines 30+) are chosen (i.e., see col. 6, lines 30+ and Col. 7, lines 1-10) such that a saturation signal (i.e., noted the V_{SAT} as shown in Fig. 11C) quantity in the progressive mode (i.e., Noted the Frame Mode used as a progressive mode as discussed in the combination of Yamaguchi '921 and Suzuki '703 as discussed above) is <u>substantially</u> equivalent to that in the interlaced mode (i.e., Noted the Field mode used as an interlaced mode as discussed in the combination of Yamaguchi '921 and Suzuki '703 above) (i.e., as shown in Figs. 11B and 11C that the saturation signal V_{SAT} for the Frame Mode is *substantially* equivalent to that of the

field mode; see Col. 7, lines 1-25 and col. 7, lines 65+; and Figs. 11A-11C, 12 and 13 of Suga **'980**).

In view of the above, having the system of Chang '939 and then given the wellestablished teaching of Suga '980, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Chang '939 as taught by Suga '980, since Suga '980 states at col. 7, lines 15+ that such a modification would prevent possible blooming in the field mode without impairing the dynamic range for the frame mode.

Regarding claim 2, Chang '939 discloses a drive method for a solid-state image sensor device (i.e., Figs. 1 and 3-4) having an image sensing portion including a photodiode (20) within the image sensing portion for performing photoelectric conversion said image sensing portion operation in both progressive mode in which all picture element signals are output independently (i.e., noted the Full Frame transfer mode during the non-interlaced reading as discussed in col. 4, lines 40+), and interlaced mode in which pluralities of scanning are performed and picture element signals obtained in respective scanning are superimposed (i.e., noted during the interlaced mode, an odd field and an even field are superimposed in an interlaced manner for displaying moving images; see col. 4, lines 6+).

Furthermore, it is noted that although Chang '939 discloses the CCD device capable of operating at both the progressive mode (i.e., Full frame mode for capturing a still image as discussed in col. 1, lines 20+) and the interlaced mode (i.e., during the interlaced mode, an odd field and an even field is superimposed in an interlaced manner for displaying moving images;

see col. 4, lines 6+), and the method including the step of applying the respective voltage to the substrate of the CCD sensor (i.e., see Fig. 2) during the interlaced mode and the non-interlaced mode (i.e., see Figs. 3-4), Chang '939 does not explicitly show wherein in applying a bias voltage to the substrate of said image sensing portion, in said progressive mode the value of said bias voltage is smaller than that in said interlace mode as recited in present claimed invention.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Suzuki '703. In particular, Suzuki '703 discloses that it is conventionally wellknown in the art to use a substrate-bias generation circuit for applying a basis voltage (i.e., Fig. 1, the elements' 2, 3 and 4) to the substrate of said image sensing portion (i.e., the CCD sensor of the camera as shown in Fig. 7A-7D of Suzuki '703) and for controlling said bias voltage in said progressive mode (i.e., the Frame mode where the image data are readout as in a non-interlaced manner by applying the respective substrate-bias voltage Vsub Level 2 as discussed in col. 2, lines 10+ and col. 12, lines 60+ of Suzuki '703; see Figs. 7A-7D) to be smaller than the bias voltage while operating in the interlaced mode (i.e., noted from the Fig. 7C of Suzuki '703 that the Vsub LEVEL2 for the Frame Mode for producing the image data in a non-interlaced manner is less than the Vsub LEVEL1 of the Filed mode for producing the interlaced image for displaying the moving image on the EVF 44; see Fig. 7A-7D & 10; and col. 2, lines 1-10, col. 12, line 35+ and col. 13, lines 1+) as recited in present claimed invention.

In view of the above, having the system of Chang '939 and then given the wellestablished teaching of Suzuki '703, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Chang '939 as taught by Suzuki '407, since Suzuki '407 states at col. 19, lines 5+ that such a modification would increase

Page 12

the signal-to-noise ratio in both Full frame reading mode and the Field reading mode so that it is possible to obtain a high-quality image regardless of whether the operation is performed in the field reading mode or in the full frame reading mode.

Moreover, it is noted that although the combination of Chang '939 and Suzuki '703 shows wherein the applied bias voltages are chosen (i.e., noted from Fig. 7C and Fig. 15 of Suzuki '703 that different bias voltage are chosen) to achieve a specific saturation signal quantity for the progressive mode and the interlace mode respectively, the combination of Chang '939 and Suzuki '703 does not explicitly show wherein that a saturation signal quantity in the progressive mode (i.e., Frame mode) is substantially equivalent to that in the interlaced mode (i.e., Field Mode).

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Suga '980. In particular, Suga '980 teaches the use of bias voltage control circuit (i.e., see Fig. 10, the elements 35 and 37) for a solid-state image sensor (i.e., CCD 31 of Figs. 10 & 11A), and the applied bias voltages (i.e., noted the voltages Va and Vb as shown in Fig. 11B; see col. 6, lines 30+) are chosen (i.e., see col. 6, lines 30+ and Col. 7, lines 1-10) such that a saturation signal (i.e., noted the V_{SAT} as shown in Fig. 11C) quantity in the progressive mode (i.e., Noted the Frame Mode used as a progressive mode as discussed in the combination of Yamaguchi '921 and Suzuki '703 as discussed above) is substantially equivalent to that in the interlaced mode (i.e., Noted the Field mode used as an interlaced mode as discussed in the combination of Yamaguchi '921 and Suzuki '703 above) (i.e., as shown in Figs. 11B and 11C that the saturation signal V_{SAT} for the Frame Mode is substantially equivalent to that of the

field mode; see Col. 7, lines 1-25 and col. 7, lines 65+; and Figs. 11A-11C, 12 and 13 of Suga '980).

In view of the above, having the system of Chang '939 and then given the well-established teaching of Suga '980, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Chang '939 as taught by Suga '980, since Suga '980 states at col. 7, lines 15+ that such a modification would prevent possible blooming in the field mode without impairing the dynamic range for the frame mode.

Regarding claim 3, Chang '939 discloses a camera (Fig. 1) comprising a solid-state image sensor device (16) having an image sensing portion (Fig. 2) for performing photoelectric conversion (i.e., see Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 40+) and a substrate-bias generation circuit (i.e., Fig. 1, the elements 64, 30, and 32), an optical system (14) receiving incident light from a subject and forming an image on said image sensing portion of said solid-state image sensor device (16), and a signal processing system for processing the signals output from said solid-state image sensor device to obtain a video signal (i.e., see Fig. 1, the elements' 24, 26, 28, and 44-62; noted the use of NTSC standard video signals), wherein the image sensing portion (Fig. 2) includes a photodiode structure (i.e., col. 3, lines 40 and col. 5, lines 56), and wherein said driving system selectively operates in (i.e., noted that the imaging system of Chang '939 is capable of operating both in a non-interlaced/Frame mode and the interlace mode for displaying the moving image therein; see col. 3, lines 55+ and col. 4, lines 5+) operate in progressive mode in which all picture element signals are output independently (i.e., noted the Full-Frame/non-interlaced transfer mode as discussed in col. 4, lines 40+), and interlaced mode in which pluralities of

scanning are performed (i.e., the scanning for the odd fields and the even fields for producing the interlaced image signals) and the picture element signals obtained in respective scanning are superimposed (i.e., noted during the interlaced mode, an odd field and an even field are superimposed in an interlaced manner for displaying moving images; see col. 4, lines 6+).

Furthermore, it is noted that although Chang '939 discloses the CCD device capable of operating at both the progressive mode (i.e., Full-frame/non-interlaced mode for capturing an image as discussed in col. 4, lines 40+) and the interlaced mode (i.e., the moving/monitor mode for capturing the odd/even fields and displaying a moving image as discussed in col. 4, lines 5+) by applying the respective substrate voltages to the image sensor as shown in Figs. 2-4, Chang '939 does not explicitly show that the bias voltage to be applied to the substrate in said progressive mode being controlled to be smaller than that in said interlaced mode by said substrate-bias generation circuit as recited in the present claimed invention.

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Suzuki '703. In particular, Suzuki '703 discloses that it is conventionally well-known in the art to use a substrate-bias generation circuit for applying a basis voltage (i.e., Fig. 1, the elements' 2, 3 and 4) to the substrate of said image sensing portion (i.e., the CCD sensor of the camera as shown in Fig. 7A-7D of Suzuki '703) and for controlling said bias voltage in said progressive mode (i.e., the Frame mode where the image data are readout as in a non-interlaced manner by applying the respective substrate-bias voltage Vsub Level 2 as discussed in col. 2, lines 10+ and col. 12, lines 60+ of Suzuki '703; see Figs. 7A-7D) to be smaller than the bias voltage while operating in the interlaced mode (i.e., noted from the Fig. 7C of Suzuki '703 that the Vsub LEVEL2 for the Frame Mode for producing the image data in a non-interlaced manner

Application/Control Number: 09/324,823

Art Unit: 2685

is less than the Vsub LEVEL1 of the Filed mode for producing the interlaced image for displaying the moving image on the EVF 44; see Fig. 7A-7D & 10; and col. 2, lines 1-10, col. 12, line 35+ and col. 13, lines 1+) as recited in present claimed invention.

In view of the above, having the system of Chang '939 and then given the well-established teaching of Suzuki '703, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Chang '939 as taught by Suzuki '407, since Suzuki '407 states at col. 19, lines 5+ that such a modification would increase the signal-to-noise ratio in both Full frame reading mode and the Field reading mode so that it is possible to obtain a high-quality image regardless of whether the operation is performed in the field reading mode or in the full frame reading mode.

Moreover, it is noted that although the combination of Chang '939 and Suzuki '703 shows wherein the applied bias voltages are chosen (i.e., noted from Fig. 7C and Fig. 15 of Suzuki '703 that different bias voltage are chosen) to achieve a specific saturation signal quantity for the progressive mode and the interlace mode respectively, the combination of Chang '939 and Suzuki '703 does not explicitly show wherein that a saturation signal quantity in the progressive mode (i.e., Frame mode) is substantially equivalent to that in the interlaced mode (i.e., Field Mode).

However, the above-mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Suga '980. In particular, Suga '980 teaches the use of bias voltage control circuit (i.e., see Fig. 10, the elements 35 and 37) for a solid-state image sensor (i.e., CCD 31 of Figs. 10 & 11A), and the applied bias voltages (i.e., noted the voltages Va and Vb as shown in Fig. 11B; see col. 6, lines 30+) are chosen (i.e., see col. 6, lines 30+ and Col. 7, lines 1-10) such that a

saturation signal (i.e., noted the V_{SAT} as shown in Fig. 11C) quantity in the progressive mode (i.e., Noted the Frame Mode used as a progressive mode as discussed in the combination of Yamaguchi '921 and Suzuki '703 as discussed above) is substantially equivalent to that in the interlaced mode (i.e., Noted the Field mode used as an interlaced mode as discussed in the combination of Yamaguchi '921 and Suzuki '703 above) (i.e., as shown in Figs. 11B and 11C that the saturation signal V_{SAT} for the Frame Mode is substantially equivalent to that of the field mode; see Col. 7, lines 1-25 and col. 7, lines 65+; and Figs. 11A-11C, 12 and 13 of Suga **'980)**.

In view of the above, having the system of Chang '939 and then given the wellestablished teaching of Suga '980, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Chang '939 as taught by Suga '980, since Suga '980 states at col. 7, lines 15+ that such a modification would prevent possible blooming in the field mode without impairing the dynamic range for the frame mode.

5. Claims 4, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang '939 in view of Suzuki '703 and Suga '980 as applied to claims discussed above, and further in view of Lee '493 (U.S. 5,904,493).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Chang '939, Suzuki '703 and Suga '980 shows wherein the substrate bias generation circuit adjusts the substrate bias voltage during the progressive mode of operation such that a potential difference is generated between a doped region (i.e., As shown in Figs. 7B-7C, noted the n-TYPE SUBSTRATE regions of Suzuki '703)

and a well of the photodiode (i.e., noted the P-LAYER as shown in Figs. 7B-7C of Suzuki '703) which is greater than during the interlaced operation (i.e., as shown in Figs. 7A-7C, noted the potential difference of the Vsub LEVEL 2 and Vsub LEVEL1 for the respective regions of the image sensor as taught by Suzuki '703; also see Fig. 11B of Suga '980).

Page 17

Furthermore, it is noted that combination of Chang '939 and Suzuki '703 does not explicitly show the use of "a hole accumulation diode" (HAD) as recited in present claimed invention. However, a pinned photodiode is well known in the art at the time of the invention was made as "hole accumulation diode or HAD", or virtual phase diode or VP diode as evidenced by Lee '493 (i.e., noted the "pinned Photodiode" as discussed in Lee '493; see col. 1, lines 30-38, and col. 2, lines 5-10). Advantage of using pinned photodiode (i.e., HAD) is well known to one having ordinary skill in the art, for example, Lee '493 teaches that using pinned photodiode (HAD) would improve dark current noise characteristics (i.e., see col. 1, lines 45-55 and col. 4, lines 25-30).

In view of the above, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Chang '939 as taught by Lee '493, since Lee '493 stated in col. 4, lines 25+ such a modification would improve dark current noise characteristics.

Regarding claim 5, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 4 as discussed above.

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Chang '939, Suzuki '703 and Suga '980 shows wherein the substrate bias generation circuit adjusts the substrate bias voltage during the progressive mode of operation such that a potential difference is generated between a doped region (i.e., As shown in Figs. 7B-7C, noted the n-TYPE SUBSTRATE regions of Suzuki '703) and a well of the photodiode (i.e., noted the P-LAYER as shown in Figs. 7B-7C of Suzuki '703) which is greater than during the interlaced operation (i.e., as shown in Figs. 7A-7C, noted the potential difference of the Vsub LEVEL 2 and Vsub LEVEL1 for the respective regions of the image sensor as taught by Suzuki '703; also see Fig. 11B of Suga '980).

Furthermore, it is noted that combination of Chang '939 and Suzuki '703 does not explicitly show the use of "a hole accumulation diode" (HAD) as recited in present claimed invention. However, a pinned photodiode is well known in the art at the time of the invention was made as "hole accumulation diode or HAD", or virtual phase diode or VP diode as evidenced by Lee '493 (i.e., noted the "pinned Photodiode" as discussed in Lee '493; see col. 1, lines 30-38, and col. 2, lines 5-10). Advantage of using pinned photodiode (i.e., HAD) is well known to one having ordinary skill in the art, for example, Lee '493 teaches that using pinned photodiode (HAD) would improve dark current noise characteristics (i.e., see col. 1, lines 45-55 and col. 4, lines 25-30).

In view of the above, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Chang '939 as taught by Lee '493, since Lee '493 stated in col. 4, lines 25+ such a modification would improve dark current noise characteristics.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aung S. Moe whose telephone number is 571-272-7314. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aung S. Moe Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2685

A. MOE October 15, 2005